

## Q1 Tuesday, February 25, 2025: Prompting Reflection for Growth–Alison Brooks-Heinzman, MD; Stephanie Corliss, PhDSession Evaulation Form









	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Value of Topic1 - Not valuable5 - Very valuable	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28.57% 4	71.43% 10	14	4.71
Quality of the Presentation and Facilitation1 - Low quality5 - High quality	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	42.86% 6	57.14% 8	14	4.57
Accomplishment of Objectives1 - Objectives were not accomplished5 - Objectives were well accomplished	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.71% 5	64.29% 9	14	4.64
Usefulness of Information/Skill in my Educational or Professional Responsibilities/Career Development1 - Not useful5 - Very useful	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.71% 5	64.29%	14	4.64

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I loved this topic and thought it was covered great in the reading and the lecture. It did feel like it got a little long and more time spent on small group activities would have been helpful. Even just talking at our tables about what reflective practices we are already using or being prompted to think about and share what we could do differently.	2/25/2025 10:51 PM
2	Interesting topic and small group activity was useful and relevant.	2/25/2025 10:19 PM
3	presented new concepts, liked hearing how other group members were doing this	2/25/2025 5:41 PM

## Q3 Tuesday, February 25, 2025: Program Evaluation: Methods and Tools–Alison Brooks-Heinzman, MD; Stephanie Corliss, PhDSession Evaluation Form







	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Value of Topic1 - Not valuable5 - Very valuable	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	14.29% 2	85.71% 12	14	4.86
Quality of the Presentation and Facilitation1 - Low quality5 - High quality	0.00%	0.00%	7.14% 1	28.57% 4	64.29% 9	14	4.57
Accomplishment of Objectives1 - Objectives were not accomplished5 - Objectives were well accomplished	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28.57% 4	71.43% 10	14	4.71
Usefulness of Information/Skill in my Educational or Professional Responsibilities/Career Development1 - Not useful5 - Very useful	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28.57% 4	71.43% 10	14	4.71

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I think this topic would be more engaging if much was spent breaking out in groups (maybe PD, APD, CD, other leadership) to then workshop a brief skills/info learned to apply to our own work/home institutions.	2/26/2025 7:35 PM
2	I liked being able to work on our projects, but I think would be more helpful earlier on? By the time I heard this I had already planned and worked on my project beyond this workshop.	2/26/2025 7:50 AM
3	This was another useful topic and was well presented. The program eval of the research course felt a little heavy, but that could have also just been the approach and discussion happening at my table. I loved having a moment to think about my own project.	2/25/2025 10:51 PM
4	Enjoyed how we had time to make a evaluation plan or our own ASL project.	2/25/2025 10:19 PM
5	Loved having the opportunity to apply the knowledge to my EAP at the end	2/25/2025 5:46 PM
6	great to have time to apply this to our own projects	2/25/2025 5:41 PM
7	I liked bringing the picture together with our ASL projects, it was particularly helpful.	2/25/2025 5:04 PM

## Q5 Tuesday, February 26, 2025: How Leaders Understand and Manage Conflict – Lee Learman, MD, PhDSession Evaluation Form









	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Value of Topic1 - Not valuable5 - Very valuable	0.00%	0.00%	7.14% 1	7.14% 1	85.71% 12	14	4.79
Quality of the Presentation and Facilitation1 - Low quality5 - High quality	0.00%	0.00%	14.29% 2	21.43%	64.29% 9	14	4.50
Accomplishment of Objectives1 - Objectives were not accomplished5 - Objectives were well accomplished	0.00%	0.00%	7.14% 1	28.57% 4	64.29% 9	14	4.57
Usefulness of Information/Skill in my Educational or Professional Responsibilities/Career Development1 - Not useful5 - Very useful	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	21.43%	71.43% 10	14	4.64

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Engaging speaker and creative presenter, the material will be useful. He was great at modeling his responses for real world concerns. Another way to do the scenarios would be similar to Maya have people just provide real life examples to respond to.	2/26/2025 7:35 PM
2	I was really looking forward to this session, but it did not end up being quite what I thought. The information was good, but I think there was a missed opportunity to really reflect on our own conflict styles and share/discuss/compare with our classmates. Putting folks together by style types and having the groups describe how they would handle a conflict would have been so fun and informative. I found my style report insightful, especially in how others may view my style type in different situations.	2/25/2025 10:51 PM
3	Valuable topic I has not thought about navigating and practical case discussions to apply principles	2/25/2025 10:19 PM
4	slightly redundant from January sessions but the conflict profile was nice and added to what we got in January	2/25/2025 5:41 PM
5	Please provide PDFs of the articles for the session. My institution does not contract with Elsevier, so I was unable to get full text for many of the articles for this and the following session.	2/25/2025 5:04 PM

# Q7 Tuesday, February 26, 2025: Fostering Inclusive Excellence in the Clinical Learning Environment – Lee Learman, MD, PhD; Akua Afriyie-Gray, MDSession Evaluation Form





	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Value of Topic1 - Not valuable5 - Very valuable	0.00%	0.00%	7.14% 1	7.14% 1	85.71% 12	14	4.79
Quality of the Presentation and Facilitation1 - Low quality5 - High quality	0.00%	0.00%	7.14% 1	14.29% 2	78.57% 11	14	4.71
Accomplishment of Objectives1 - Objectives were not accomplished5 - Objectives were well accomplished	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	21.43%	78.57% 11	14	4.79
Usefulness of Information/Skill in my Educational or Professional Responsibilities/Career Development1 - Not useful5 - Very useful	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	14.29% 2	78.57% 11	14	4.71

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	We have had extensive education on this topic at my home institution (as in at least 2 days of immersive training every 2 years). The speakers were engaging, humble, and provided some great frameworks to consider on allyship/upstanders. Topic will likely be more relevant/needed with recent changes for future classes. However, if most scholars are getting extensive training on this at home programs, this would be less important work to do here.	2/26/2025 7:35 PM
2	Appreciate them being willing to still give this presentation. I really hope you can keep it.	2/26/2025 7:50 AM
3	I'm so happy that Dr. Afriyie-Gray joined Dr. Learman for this session. She added so much insight and impact.	2/25/2025 10:51 PM
4	Appreciated various response frameworks and to practice using them.	2/25/2025 10:19 PM
5	Would have liked more detail on the frameworks presented rather than having them all on one slide	2/25/2025 5:46 PM
6	great discussion and great role play activities	2/25/2025 5:41 PM
7	Exercises were wonderful.	2/25/2025 5:04 PM

### Q9 Additional comments for the ASL Program:

Answered: 3 Skipped: 11

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Helpful to have all readings available as a pdf and also more easily accessed (for January it was in the website syllabus, for February they were imbedded in the individual session documents and got a bit lost)er imbed	2/28/2025 1:51 PM
2	In general, these learning sessions were not as engaging or informative as the Hawaii sessions. If our goal is to get research started sooner, maybe HI should be ed theory/methods/programs/curriculum/eval/ research intensive with time to begin lit search, work on EAP. Some additional resources in that arena could be completed at this meeting, including some time to work on scientific writing as a session with readily available peer group and mentors. Leadership/coaching/conversations could be the Aug work group, as by then projects are well under way.	2/26/2025 7:35 PM
3	I loved my table-mates, but would have also enjoyed moving around middle of the day and sitting with different people in the afternoon since these sessions tend to be more static.	2/25/2025 10:51 PM