AM Last Page: A Snapshot of Three Common Program Evaluation Approaches for Medical Education

Rebecca D. Blanchard, PhD, assistant professor of education research, Baystate Medical Center, Laura Torbeck, PhD, vice chair of education, Surgery, Indiana University, and Whitney Blondeau, PhD, medical education specialist, Maine Medical Center

Program evaluation, or programmatic assessment, is the application of defensible criteria to determine the worth or merit of a program, project, or curriculum.1 "Decision-oriented," "outcomes-oriented," and "expert-oriented" are three common approaches to program evaluation1 in medical education. This snapshot provides a brief review of program evaluation within each approach along the following dimensions:

Perspective: Do internal stakeholders or external stakeholders drive the evaluation?

Sensitivity: Is the evaluation examining a broad scope of the program or delving deeply into one or two particular aspects in greater detail? Feasibility: Is this evaluation reasonably straightforward to complete, or does it require specialized knowledge and resources? Utility: Do the evaluation results benefit local decision makers or those in a broader audience? Integration of theory: Does the evaluation rely on a theory, or is it largely atheoretical?

Decision-oriented approach

The evaluation results help program personnel make effective decisions.¹ The type of data included in, the research design of, and the focus of the evaluation are selected to maximize the evaluators' utilization of evaluation results.²

- Perspective: Informed by the needs of the program personnel
- Sensitivity: In-depth look into data at each stage of the educational process
- Feasibility: Can be completed with local resources but may be limited by the availability and sufficiency of the data
- Utility: Results largely favor local context and local decision makers, as program data are structured to reflect stages of the program (e.g., input, process, output)
- Integration of theory: Not directly theoretical, but the process of evaluation may draw out a theory underlying the program by identifying data points which personnel believe represent the input, process, and output of the program

Outcomes-oriented approach

Objectives are solidified so that specific outcome measures can be established and tracked. The evaluation determines whether the program objectives have been met.1,3

- Perspective: Primarily for internal feedback to explore educational processes which lead to selected outcomes
- Sensitivity: Evaluation results reflect the breadth of a program's process
- Feasibility: Evaluating the relationship between input (e.g., students' knowledge, skills, and attitudes prior to participation in the program) and output and outcomes (e.g., proximal or distal curriculum objectives or students' postparticipation knowledge, skills, and attitudes) may require specially trained educationalists
- Utility: Results are generally highly contextual⁵ and useful for local program and curriculum planning and development; however, results may provide broader utility by explaining educational effectiveness of programs across a spectrum of outcomes⁵
- Integration of theory: Helpful for drawing out underlying assumptions and for framing the activities of the program

outcomes-oriented approach Is our curriculum meeting its objectives? • Is our longitudinal curriculum making medical

Example of questions best answered from an

Example of a question best answered from a

How is our residency program distributing

resources to develop novice physicians into

Examples of decision-oriented approaches

The CIPP model (Context, Input, Process, Product)³

decision-oriented approach

Baseline-Process-Product model⁴

competent physicians?

students more empathetic to patients during their residency training?

Examples of outcomes-oriented approaches

- Logic modeling¹
- Curriculum evaluation

Expertise-oriented approach

The evaluator relies on an external expert to determine the value of various program criteria and data points,² and the program evaluation results are judged by an expert.1

- Perspective: Externally driven process for identifying which data points (e.g., duty hours, types of surgical cases observed, or number of publications) represent quality
- Sensitivity: Often a broad look across the program, but results could trigger in-depth analysis of some aspects
- Feasibility: Generally approachable with local resources, though some elements, such as gathering and analyzing qualitative data, may require additional specialization
- Utility: Results generally framed to meet external requirements
- Integration of theory: Generally atheoretical, as data are included to demonstrate standards of performance

Example of a question best answered from an expertise-oriented approach

Is our fellowship worthy of continued Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education accreditation?

An example of an expertise-oriented approach Self-study evaluation (e.g., to fulfill accreditation requirements)

References

- Fitzpatrick JL, Sanders JR, Worthen BR. Program Evaluation: Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines. 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education; 2011.
 Christie CA, Alkin MC. An evaluation theory tree. In: Alkin MC, ed. Evaluation Roots. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2013:11–57.
 Stufflebeam DL. The relevance of the CIPP evaluation model for educational accountability. J Res Dev Educ. 1971;5:19–25.

4. Durning SJ, Hemmer P, Pangaro LN. The structure of program evaluation: An approach for evaluating a course, derkship, or components of a residency or fellowship training program. Teach Learn Med. 2007;19:308–318. 5. Musick DW. A conceptual model for program evaluation in graduate medical education. Acad Med. 2006;81:759–765. Author contact: Rebecca.BlanchardPhD@baystatehealth.org